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When thinking about stress, it’s always useful to start with the General Adaptation Syndrome in mind (we’ll discuss this in more depth in the next chapter). Very small amounts of stress won’t provoke a very robust adaptive response, but more stress increases adaptation. However, too much stress – to the point that you can’t cope with it physically or psychologically – also decreases the rate of adaptation. An important factor to keep in mind is that your body doesn’t differentiate between different types of stress to a great degree. Although the specific adaptations to different types of stress (lifting weights, a car crash, tight deadlines at work, etc.) differ, your body’s general response when it encounters and copes with any stressor is very similar for any stressor you encounter. This means (to simplify things a bit) that all the stressors in your life pool together, and dip into the same reservoir of “adaptive reserves” that are available for recovering from those stressors, allowing you to adapt so you’ll be better equipped to handle them next time. In the case of strength training, that
means bigger, stronger muscles, more resilient tendons and connective tissue, and bones that can handle heavier loading.

Your body needs a certain amount of stress simply to function normally. Remove all the stressors from your life, and your body begins to deteriorate. For example, if you won the lottery and spent a year laying on the couch, watching reality TV – facing no stressors that challenge you physically or mentally – you’d be much weaker and in much worse health than you are now with some baseline level of physical and psychological stress in your life.

Past that baseline level, further stress causes beneficial adaptation, with diminishing returns and eventually negative returns. The first input of any sort of stress tends to cause the largest beneficial adaptation, with further stress having an additive effect, though each additional unit of stress doesn’t add as much additional benefit as the first one did. However, once the total amount of stress you’re coping with (physically and psychologically) exceeds the threshold of what your “adaptive reserves” can handle, additional stress begins having negative effects.

**Application to Training**

The easiest way to visualize this concept is by looking at the integral of a skew right normal distribution with x-intercepts at 0 (for an untrained lifter – more on that later) and some arbitrary positive number. If those words mean nothing to you, don’t worry - the pictures should help you make sense of it.

**Figure 4.1** shows one such curve sketched out, with intercepts at 0 units of stress (no stress means no adaptation) and 4 units of stress (the maximal amount
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The Training Stress Response Curve

\[ \text{x axis = magnitude of stress} \]
\[ \text{y axis = marginal gains/losses} \]
\[ \text{area under the curve = magnitude of gains or losses} \]

*Figure 4.1*

The Magnitude Of Adaptation From A Small Stressor

\[ \text{X axis = magnitude of stress} \]
\[ \text{Y axis = marginal gains/losses} \]
\[ \text{Area under the curve = magnitude of gains or losses} \]

*Figure 4.2*
you can handle without reaching beyond your ability to cope).

In Figure 4.2, I’ve sketched out the integral (area under the curve) when the body is presented with 1 unit of stress. This would be a fairly small stressor. The magnitude of adaptation is represented by the shaded area.

Figure 4.3 shows the integral for 4 units of stress. As you can see, the shaded area is larger than it was for just 1 unit of stress. This means a larger adaptive response. This would be the maximal amount of stress the body can respond to productively, and the maximal amount of benefit you could possibly get from

The Maximal Amount of Training Stress
You Can Handle and Make Gains From

The x axis = magnitude of stress
The y axis = marginal gains/losses
The area under the curve = magnitude of gains or losses

Figure 4.3
training.

**Figure 4.4** demonstrates what happens when we have a bit over 6 units of stress. This represents a stressor larger than that which the body would respond maximally too. The area in quadrant 4 (below the x-axis) represents a reduction in the magnitude of the adaptive response. In this case, the area under the curve past 4 represents the magnitude of benefit that’s been nullified from doing too much, so that you’d get more benefit from 3 units of stress than you would from...
doing over twice as much work.

This is roughly what occurs when dealing with training factors that add stress. So just to sum all of this up, in case you’re still a little confused about what exactly you’re looking at:

1) The x-intercept on the left (0 for the graphs above) represents the minimum amount of stress necessary to start having a positive effect.

2) The x-intercept on the right (4 for the graphs above) represents the maximum amount of stress the body can respond productively to.

3) The positive area under the curve, minus the negative area under the curve, is the total amount of positive adaptation you get from your training.

4) The curve itself represents marginal gains or losses as the stimulus increases.

**Training Volume, Training Intensity, and Cardio**

Let’s look at three examples: Training volume, training intensity, and cardio training.

**Training volume:** James Kreiger’s wonderful meta-analysis about the effects of doing more sets in training illustrates the first part of this concept beautifully. 2-3 work sets will give you significantly better gains than 1 work set, and 4-6 sets will probably give you better gains than 2-3 sets (it didn’t reach statistical significance, but there is a larger effect size). However, there was a much larger difference between 1 and 2-3 than there was between 2-3 and 4-6. The former would represent going from maybe 1 unit of stress in the graphs above to 2 units of stress. The latter would represent going from 2 to 3 or 4 units of stress – increased gains, but not nearly to the same extent.
However, that relationship of increased work leading to increased gains only holds true to a point. Once you accumulate too much volume, you start regressing; you enter the realm of overtraining.

This is a direct message to anyone who says overtraining doesn’t exist: Run a marathon every day, lift weights HARD for 4-5 hours every day, eat as much as you want, sleep as much as you want (and shoot, take whatever steroids you want), and tell me at the end of 6 months if you still think overtraining is imaginary (if you survive until the end).

That represents the curve dipping below the x-axis, and the detriments of the stress in excess of the maximal amount you’re capable of adapting to overwhelming the benefits you’d have seen from lower levels of stress.

With training volume, more is better until you reach your limit, at which point further increases don’t just fail to produce better results, but instead lead to worse results.

**Training Intensity**: Training intensity is similar to training volume. Research has shown that using loads of at least 60% of your max are necessary to cause robust gains in hypertrophy under normal conditions. From that point, there’s a range from about 60-85% that gives you the most bang for your buck in terms of strength and hypertrophy gains.

When you start training above 85% regularly, especially if you’re taking a lot of your sets close to failure, the benefits start decreasing. This is because training volume is priority No. 1, and you simply can’t handle very much training volume with 90-100% of your max. Training that heavy has its place when peaking for a meet, or if you have the rest of your program adjusted accordingly.
to allow for appropriate training volume, but it doesn’t allow you to simply do enough work to make your best strength and size gains year-round under most circumstances, if that’s the only intensity range you use.

To see this in practice, you can simply look around at almost every successful strength training program in existence. You’ll see that the vast majority of successful powerlifters and bodybuilders throughout the years have made the intensity range of 60-85% the bread and butter of their training. It allows for adequate training volume without unduly increasing psychological stress; constantly training too light doesn’t give your body enough reason to grow, and training too heavy has more of the downside (mentally stressful, and doesn’t allow for adequate training volume) with very similar upsides (muscle, bone, and neural adaptations that come with strength training) to training in the 60-85% range.

**Cardio Training**: Doing some aerobic training will have a positive impact on your lifting, because picking up heavy stuff is an energy-intensive endeavor. If your conditioning isn’t good enough to knock out set after set, you’re not going to be able to handle enough training volume, and your recovery likely won’t be as good.

However, for a strength athlete or bodybuilder, all you’re really shooting for is an adequate base of aerobic fitness. Benefits accrue to the point that you attain that sufficient base level of aerobic fitness. However, once you start training like a competitive runner, strength and mass gains suffer.

Proper structuring of training is key here, too. It takes more dedicated cardio-vascular training to build aerobic fitness, but relatively little to maintain it. Since it’s a stressor you have to account for, a training block dedicated to building
more aerobic fitness necessitates reductions in lifting volume. However, once you have an adequate base (a resting heart rate in the low 60s is a good indicator), you can dial back your aerobic training to allow you to ramp your strength training back up.

**How Genetics, Drugs, Training Experience, Recovery Modalities and Calorie Balance Affect Our Gains**

An important thing to keep in mind with this concept is that your training status shifts the curve. As you become more highly trained, the y-intercept would become farther and farther below 0, representing the fact that it requires a certain amount of stress simply to maintain your current adaptations. If you’re untrained, no stress means no gains and no losses. With more training, no stress whatsoever means larger and larger losses – it takes more work just to maintain performance (though maintenance is considerably easier than progress).

**How Training Experience Affects the Curve**

Furthermore, as you become more highly trained, the apex of the curve shifts down and the curve as a whole stretches out. Check out a visualization of this concept in Figure 4.5.

In non-nerd speak, this means that the total possible gains you can make decrease, the amount of work you have to do to maintain your strength increases, but the total amount of productive work you can do increases.
Effect of Training Experience on the Stress You Can Handle and the Gains You Can Make

X axis = magnitude of stress
Y axis = marginal gains/losses
Area under the curve = magnitude of gains or losses

Blue = beginner
Purple = intermediate
Green = advanced

Figure 4.5
How Life Stressors Negatively Impact Our Gains

Life stressors can shift the curve down, as seen in Figure 4.6. The minimal amount of training stress necessary to make gains increases, the overall magnitude of adaptations possible decrease, and the maximal amount of training stress you can handle before overreaching/overtraining decreases.

Increased Life Stressors Decrease the Training Stress You Can Handle and Your Potential for Progress

X axis = magnitude of stress
Y axis = marginal gains/losses
Area under the curve = magnitude of gains or losses

Figure 4.6
**How Better Recovery Modalities Positively Affect Our Gains From Training**

More attention to stress management and “recovery” modalities (sleep and meditation are two I would recommend for example) can shift the curve up (as seen in Figure 4.7), meaning beneficial adaptations to a lower threshold of training stressors, greater total possibilities for adaptation, and a higher ceiling for the amount of training stress you can handle before overreaching/overtraining.

---

**Improved Recovery Modalities, Good Genetics, and Steroids Increase Stress You Can Handle and Gains You Can Make**

- **X axis** = magnitude of stress
- **Y axis** = marginal gains/losses
- **Area under the curve** = magnitude of gains or losses

---

**Figure 4.7**
Genetic factors and steroids work in a similar manner, with better genetics/more drugs shifting the curve up, and worse genetics/fewer drugs shifting the curve down.

One final note: To make the normal training range easier to see on the graphs above, none of the curves went very far past the right intercept (when excess stress starts eating into your gains). As the stress increases further, there’s an increasingly negative effect. A bit over optimal isn’t much of an issue, more than that drives you toward overreaching/overtraining, and then past that point really bad stuff starts happening (see Figure 4.8).

What Happens When You Throw Caution to the Wind And Try to Replicate a CT Fletcher Workout (Exceptionally High Volume)

1. Nothing.
2. Low volume.
3. Sweet spot.
4. Aggressive.
5. A bit too much, but not much of an issue.
6. Overreaching/overtraining.
7. Rhabdo.
8. Death.
How Calorie Deficits Negatively Affect Our Training Response, How Surpluses Positively Impact It

This concept is also crucially important for planning your training in a calorie deficit versus a surplus. A calorie deficit is a stressor that competes for those adaptive reserves by itself, meaning less are left over to respond to the stress of strength training. Add to that the simple mechanistic fact that building new muscle requires energy, and when you’re in a calorie deficit, you have less energy left over to build muscle after the energetic needs for survival are taken care of. These things shift the curve down.

Let’s look at how energy balance affects the training stress response curve of the novice trainee in Figure 4.9.

In Figure 4.9, the purple curve may represent maintenance calories. The blue curves below it represent deficits of different magnitudes, and the blue curve above it would represent a surplus.

We can see that even for the novice trainee, as the calorie deficit increases, the y-axis intercept drops further and further below zero. Training is required in order to maintain muscle mass.

The takeaway: In a deficit, you can’t gain muscle at the same rate as you can in a surplus, and you can’t handle and benefit from the same level of training volume – it takes less total work to dip below the x-axis and migrate from productive work to counter-productive (not just unproductive) excessive work.

In a surplus, those factors are exactly reversed. Eating a surplus of calories is an inherently stress-reducing activity (a major reason so many people stress eat – eating extra when you’re stressed helps you cope physically and psycho-
logically with the stress) leaves more energy to be used to build muscle after essential life-maintaining functions are taken care of. For this reason, you can and should increase your training volume when in a surplus – you can handle a significantly higher workload to build strength and muscle at a faster rate.

Now let’s consider how a deficit affects more advanced lifters:

Recall from figure 4.5 that an increase in training experience shifts the curve down. With a calorie deficit, the response curve shifts down further.

What this means is that the training amount required in order to not regress increases, the overall potential for adaptations is greatly reduced, and the amount
of training that can be handled before over-training occurs (and thus marginal losses have potential to accrue). In many instances, for an advanced lifter, simply maintaining strength and muscle mass during a deficit may be the most you can hope for – the area below the x-axis on the left equaling the maximal positive area under the curve.

How Calorie Balance Affects the Gains of a Highly Experienced Trainee

Figure 4.10
If we shift the curve down once more to consider a highly advanced trainee, we would see that the margin for getting positive adaptations to training while in a calorie deficit are minimal, and the margin for error with setting the training stimulus is small – too much or too little and regression will occur.